

Sri D. K. NAIKER (Minister for Law and Parliamentary Affairs).—I beg to lay :

“ Notification No. 282-1-MY-71 dated 6th December, 1971 regarding amendments to the Delimitation of Parliamentary and Assembly Constituencies ’.

MADAM SPEAKER.—All Papers are laid on the Table.

Objection to taking up Financial Business.

Sri M. Y. GHORPADE (Minister for Finance).—I request that the Supplementary Demands for Grants for Expenditure during 1971-72, be taken into consideration.

Sri S. BANGARAPPA.—I rise to a Point of Order. As per rules of procedure the Motion of Thanks to the Governor's Address will have to be carried first and the Financial Business will have to be taken up afterwards. One of the members of the Assembly will have to move the Motion of Thanks and the same will have to be seconded by another member. Chapter V of the Rules of Procedure deals with Governor's Address and Messages to the Assembly. Rule 18 reads as follows :

“(1) The Speaker shall report to the Assembly that the Governor was pleased to address the Legislature and place a copy of it on the Table of the House.

(2) The Speaker shall, in consultation with the Leader of the House, allot time for the discussion of the matters referred to in the Governor's Address to the Houses under Article 176 of the Constitution.’

Rule 19 says :—

“ On such day or days or part of any day, the Assembly shall be at liberty to discuss the matters referred to in such Address on a Motion of Thanks moved by a member and seconded by another member.”

Time will have to be set apart for that purpose. Under Rule 20 amendments may be moved to such Motions of Thanks.

Rule 21 says :

“(1) Notwithstanding that a day has been allotted for discussion on the Governor's Address,....”

Under the circumstances, the discussion of Financial Business is not in order. ಈ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕಾರಣಗಳಿಂದ ಫೈನಾನ್ಸಿಯಲ್ ಬಿಸ್ನೆಸ್‌ನ್ನು ಈಗ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವಹಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಆ ರೀತಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರೆ ಅದು ರೂಲ್ಸ್‌ಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣನ್.—ರೂರ್ 21ರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನು ಹೇಳುತ್ತದೆ ಅಂದರೆ—

Rule 21(1) Notwithstanding that a day has been allotted for discussion on the Governor's Address.

ರಾಜ್ಯಪಾಲರ ಅಡ್ರೆಸ್ ಇದ್ದರೂ ನಹ ಬರ್ ಇಂಟ್ರೊಡ್ಯೂಸ್ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. ಅದರ ಇವತ್ತು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧಿಕ ಸಚಿವರು ಬರ್ ಇಂಟ್ರೊಡ್ಯೂಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊರಟಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ.

ಎರಡನೆಯದು ಏನೆಂದರೆ—

"Rule 21 (ii) other business of a formal character may be transacted on such day before the Assembly commences or continues the discussion on the Address" ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇರೆ ಬಿಸಿನೆಸ್ ಅಂದರೆ, ಔಪಚಾರಿಕವಾದ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಬಹುದೇ ವಿನಹ ಬೇರೆ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಡೆಸುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಫೋರ್ಡ್‌ಮನ್ ಮಂಡಿಸುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಔಪಚಾರಿಕವಾದ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ. ಅದು ಬಹಳ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ವಿಷಯ. ಮೂರನೆಯದು ಏನೆಂದರೆ, ಅದು ಹೀಗಿದೆ.—

Rule 21(2) The discussion on the Address may be postponed in favour of a Government Bill or other Government Business on a motion being made that the discussion on the Address be adjourned to a subsequent day to be appointed by the Speaker. ಈ ತರಹ ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಮೋಷನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೂಡ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನ್ಯಾಯವಾಗಿ ನೋಡುವುದಾದರೆ, ಮೊದಲು ಗೌರ್ನರ್ ಅಡ್ರೆಸ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮೋಷನ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದು, ಅದು ಪಾಸಾದ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧಿಕ ಸಚಿವರು ಮೂವ್ ಮಾಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ನನ್ನ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದು ರೂರ್, ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರ್‌ಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. A motion must have been made that the discussion on the Governor's address may be adjourned.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS. — I should like to say that in this House we should follow certain procedure. The Hon. Member has already made out points in support of his point of order. He has raised the same points for the second time. After sometime he remembers something and gets up and says something for the third time. I think this is not the way in which the proceedings of this House should be regulated.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎ. ಕಾಕಲಯ.—ಅದು ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರಿಗೆ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಂಡೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಕೋಪಗೊಂಡರೆ ಹೇಗೆ ಸ್ಪಾಮ್? ಬೇಲಿಯೇ ಹೊಲ ಮೇಯ್ದರೆ ಹೇಗಾಗುತ್ತದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಎತ್ತುವ ಅಂತ ಏನೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ರೂರ್, ಆಫ್ ಪ್ರೊಸೀಜರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಇದೆ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಒಮ್ಮೆ ಎದ್ದು ಹೇಳಿದ ನಂತರ ಪುನಃ ಏನೋ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂತು ಎಂದು ಪುನಃ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಹೇಗೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಎತ್ತಿರತಕ್ಕಂತಹ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ವಿಷಯ ಹೇಳುವುದು ಉಂಟು. ರೂರ್ 21ರಲ್ಲಿ Notwithstanding that a day has been allotted for discussion of the Governor's Address.....ಎಂದು ಇದೆ. Even in the case where a day or some days have been allotted for the

† Asterisks indicate that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the member concerned.

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಬಂಗಾರಪ್ಪ)

discussion of the Governor's Address ಬಿಜಚಾರಿಕವಾದ ಬಿನೆನ್ ಮಾತ್ರ ಮಾಡಬಹುದು. ಈಗ ಮೋಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಥ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಸ್ ಮೂವ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ; ಮತ್ತು ಒಂದೆರಡು ದಿವಸ ಕೂಡ ಆರಾಟ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಪ್ತೇ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ. Even under Rule 21, the financial matters cannot be taken up.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Madam Speaker, I have heard the arguments in support of the point of order raised by the hon. Member Sri Bangarappa and Sri Krishnan has supported his point of view. I think, we must not go back after making the speech. I am sure the Hon. Member Sri Bangarappa will not put forth the same arguments in favour of that point of order unless he is asked to speak once again. The point is very simple. Under Chapter V, Rule 18 (2): The Speaker shall in consultation with the Leader of the House allot time for the discussion of the matter referred to under Art. 176 of the Constitution. It only means, it enjoins on the Speaker to fix a date and allot time for discussion on the Governor's Address. But still I believe; and according to this rule also, it is in the hands of the Hon. Speaker to fix up that day or days on which the Governor's Address should be discussed. Now in the absence of those days being fixed, to take shelter under Rule 21(2), is not correct...

Sri M. S. KRISHNAN.—In the first notice that was circulated to us, the dates have been fixed for the discussion of the Governor's address. It is fixed on the 29th and 30th March 1972.

Sri D. DEVARAJ URS.—Even supposing it is 29th and 30th, if we want to transact some other business relating to the Government business or Government Bills, then I understand, as Sri Bangarappa and yourself pointed out, that you will have to move a motion on the floor of the House stating to adjourn this debate on the Governor's Address to give precedence to Government Bill which requires to be discussed or Government business to be transacted. Now in the absence of this, the Governor's address even if it is fixed on the 29th and 30th, there will be no difficulty to take up any other business before the House. So, I do not think there is any point of order in this.

MADAM SPEAKER.—There is no point of order.

(i) BUDGET ESTIMATES FOR 1971-72—SUPPLEMENTARY AND ADDITIONAL DEMANDS FOR GRANTS

General Discussion

Demand No. 3

Sri M. Y. GHORPADE.—Sir, On the recommendation of the Governor I beg to move.

“That further sums not exceeding Rs. 19,00,000 be granted out of the consolidated fund of the State to defray charges